

ECON 582: STOCHASTIC DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING (CHAPTER 16, ACEMOGLU)

Instructor: Dmytro Hryshko

Let us introduce the stochastic (random) variable $z(t) \in Z \equiv \{z_1, \dots, z_N\}$, with $z_1 < z_2 < \dots < z_N$. The set Z is finite and thus compact. Let the instantaneous payoff at t be

$$U(x(t), x(t+1), z(t)),$$

where $x(t) \in X \subset R^K$, $K \geq 1$, and $U : X \times X \times Z \rightarrow R$.

Payoffs directly depend on the stochastic variable $z(t)$;

at time t , **state** is $x(t)$, **control** is $x(t+1)$;

initial values $x(0)$ and $z(0)$ are given.

Constraint: $x(t+1) \in G(x(t), z(t))$.

Suppose that $z(t)$ is a (first-order) **Markov chain**—current value of $z(t)$ depends only on its last period value, $z(t - 1)$. That is,

$$\Pr [z(t) = z_j | z(0), \dots, z(t - 1)] \equiv \Pr [z(t) = z_j | z(t - 1)].$$

Example. Independently distributed r.v. is a Markov chain:
 $\Pr [z(t) = z_j | z(0), \dots, z(t - 1)] = \Pr [z(t) = z_j].$

Markov chains enable us to model environments where stochastic variables are correlated over time.

Markov chain

$$\Pr [z(t) = z_j | z(t-1) = z_i] = \pi_{ij},$$

for any $i, j = 1, \dots, N$, where $\pi_{ij} \geq 0$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \pi_{ij} = 1, \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, N.$$

π_{ij} is called a **transition probability**—the probability of the stochastic state z transitioning from state z_i to state z_j .

Optimal growth problem

The objective is to maximize:

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c(t)).$$

$c(t)$ is consumption per capita at time t ; $u(\cdot)$ is the instantaneous utility function.

E_0 is the expectation conditional on information available at the beginning of time $t = 0$. Future values of c are stochastic as they depend on the realizations of future z 's.

Let the production function in per capita terms be:

$$y(t) = f(k(t), z(t)),$$

where $k(t)$ is capital per worker and $z(t) \in Z \equiv \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N\}$. E.g., $z(t)$ is a stochastic TFP.

The resource constraint is:

$$k(t+1) = f(k(t), z(t)) + (1 - \delta)k(t) - c(t),$$

$k(0) > 0$ is given and $k(t) \geq 0$ for all t ; δ is the depreciation rate. Thus, $c(t)$ will depend on $z(t)$, and, in fact, on the entire history of $z(t)$ up to t .

Define

$$z^t \equiv (z(1), \dots, z(t)).$$

as the **history** of $z(t)$ up to time t . z^t does not include $z(0)$ since it's given, and includes t elements. Let

$Z^t = \underbrace{Z \times Z \times \dots \times Z}_{t \text{ times}}$ so that $z^t \in Z^t$. For given $k(0)$, the level of consumption at time t can be written as

$$c(t) = c[z^t].$$

That is, consumption at time t is a function of the entire sequence of random variables observed up to t ; **not** a function of future z 's; those are not realized yet and we assume that we don't have advance information about them.

The resource constraint can be written as:

$$k(t+1) = f(k(t), z(t)) + (1 - \delta)k(t) - c[z^t] \equiv k[z^t].$$

Using this definition we can rewrite the budget constraint as

$$k[z^t] = f(k[z^{t-1}], z(t)) + (1 - \delta)k[z^{t-1}] - c[z^t],$$

for all $z^{t-1} \in Z^{t-1}$ and $z^t \in Z^t$.

We can express the maximization problem as

$$\max_{\{k[z^t]\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} E_t \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(k[z^{t-1}], k[z^t], z(t)),$$

where

$$U[k[z^{t-1}], k[z^t], z(t)] = u[f(k(t), z(t)) + (1 - \delta)k(t) - k(t + 1)].$$

Initial conditions: $k(0)$ and $z(0)$ given.

Expectation is over the entire set of z 's.

Recursive formulation

Since $z(t)$ is a Markov chain, its realization affects not only the resources available for $k(t+1)$ and $c(t)$ —via $f(k(t), z(t))$ —but also contains information about distribution of $z(t+1)$.

Naturally, $k(t+1) = \pi(k(t), z(t))$.

Recursive formulation will be

$$V(k, z) = \max_{k' \in [0, f(k, z) + (1-\delta)k]} \{u[f(k, z) + (1-\delta)k - k'] + \beta E[V(k', z')|z]\},$$

where $E[\cdot|z]$ is the expectation *conditional* on the current value of z and we used the fact that $z(t)$ is a Markov chain.

Expectation is over the next-period z, z' .

Let's suppose that the program has a solution, that is, there exists a feasible plan that achieves the maximum value $V(k, z)$ starting with k and z . Then, $k' = \pi(k, z)$ and for any k and z ,

$$V(k, z) = u[f(k, z) + (1 - \delta)k - \pi(k, z)] + \beta E[V(\pi(k, z), z')|z].$$

Under certain assumptions, $\pi(k, z)$ is uniquely defined, and

$$k(t + 1) = \pi(k(t), z(t)).$$

General formulation. Sequence problem

Problem B1 (sequence problem):

$$V^*(x(0), z(0)) = \max_{\{x[z^t]\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(x[z^{t-1}], x[z^t], z(t))$$

s.t.

$$x[z^t] \in G(x[z^{t-1}], z(t)), \text{ for all } t \geq 0$$

$x(0), z(0)$ given.

Expectation is conditioned on $z(0)$ and over all possible infinite sequences $\{z(1), z(2), \dots\}$.

General formulation. Recursive problem

Problem B2 (recursive problem):

$$V(x, z) = \max_{x' \in G(x, z)} \{U(x, x', z) + \beta E [V(x', z')|z]\}, \text{ for all } x \in X, z \in Z.$$

$V : X \times Z \rightarrow R$ is a real-valued function, and $x' \in G(x, z)$ is the constraint that specifies feasible values of the next period x, x' , given the current values of x and z .

Under certain assumptions, **Problem B1** and **Problem B2** are equivalent.

Application: The Permanent Income Hypothesis

Consumption smoothing problem of a household facing an uncertain income stream. Household maximizes

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c(t)),$$

$c(t) \geq 0$ is consumption at time t . Assume that $u(\cdot)$ is strictly increasing, continuously differentiable and concave.

The household can borrow and lend freely at a constant interest rate $r > 0$, and the lifetime budget constraint is

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+r)^t} c(t) \leq a(0) + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+r)^t} w(t), \quad (1)$$

where $w(t)$ is household labor income at t , and $a(0)$ is the level of initial financial assets.

Suppose that $w(t)$ is random and takes values from the set $\{w_1, \dots, w_N\}$. Assume that $w(t)$ is independent over time and w_j is drawn with π_j so that $\sum_{i=1}^N \pi_i = 1$. Constraint is stochastic and must hold with probability 1 for all sample paths of $w(t)$.

Although there is no explicit constraint, there will be *endogenous borrowing constraints*. If $w_1 = 0$ with $\pi_1 > 0$, there's a positive probability that $w(t) = 0$ for all t . Thus,

$$a(t) \geq - \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+r)^s} w_1 \equiv -b_1,$$

where w_1 is the minimum w within the set W .

Note that we are choosing $\{c[w^t]\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$, where $w^t = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_t)$ —the history of income realizations up to time t . The sequence problem would give the F.O.C.:

$$\beta^t u'(c[w^t]) = \lambda[w^t] \frac{1}{(1+r)^t},$$

where $\lambda[w^t]$ is the Lagrange multiplier on the budget constraint given a particular path of $w(t)$ is realized up to t .

Interpretation: the (discounted) marginal utility of consumption after history w^t is equated to the (discounted) marginal utility of money after history w^t , $\lambda[w^t]$.

Recursive formulation

Note that the **flow budget constraint** is

$$a(t+1) = (1+r)[a(t) + w(t) - c(t)].$$

We can write the problem in **recursive formulation** as:

$$V(a, w) = \max_{a' \in [-b_1, (1+r)(a+w)]} \left[u \left(a + w - \frac{1}{1+r} a' \right) + \beta EV(a', w') \right],$$

where we've used the fact that $w(t)$ is distributed independently over time, so that the continuation value is not conditioned on the current w .

The **F.O.C.** with respect to a' :

$$u'(c(t)) = (1+r)\beta E_t \frac{\partial V(a(t+1), w(t+1))}{\partial a}.$$

The **Envelope condition**:

$$\frac{\partial V(a(t), w(t))}{\partial a(t)} = u'(c(t)).$$

Iterating the Envelope condition forward and plugging into the F.O.C. (taking expectations where appropriate), we obtain **the Euler equation**:

$$u'(c(t)) = \beta(1 + r)E_t u'(c(t + 1)).$$

Let the utility function be quadratic (Hall 1978):

$u(c) = \phi c - \frac{1}{2}c^2$. Note that $u'(c) = \phi - c$: we require that ϕ is sufficiently large in the range of admissible c . The Euler equation becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi - c(t) &= \beta(1+r)E_t[\phi - c_{t+1}] \\ c(t) &= \phi(1 - \beta(1+r)) + \beta(1+r)E_t c(t+1).\end{aligned}$$

If $\beta(1+r) = 1$, $E_t \Delta c(t+1) = 0$, where $\Delta \equiv 1 - L$.

Expected consumption should be the same as today's consumption, that is, consumption is a [martingale](#).

Statistical digression

A random variable $z(t)$ is a **martingale** with respect to some information set Ω_t if $E[z(t+1)|\Omega_t] = z(t)$.

A random variable $z(t)$ is a **submartingale** with respect to some information set Ω_t if $E[z(t+1)|\Omega_t] \geq z(t)$.

A random variable $z(t)$ is a **supermartingale** with respect to some information set Ω_t if $E[z(t+1)|\Omega_t] \leq z(t)$.

Plan

We will next talk about consumption problem when endowments are non-stochastic and consumer wants to smooth consumption over time by the means of self-insurance (purchasing or selling a bond) [LS, sections 16.3 and 16.4].

We will then talk about the basics of [time series analysis](#) [handouts]. This will be essential to understand:

The [Permanent Income Hypothesis](#) (PIH)—self-insurance with uncertain income [handouts].

Then we will talk about consumption problem when the complete array of state-contingent assets is available and income varies unpredictably over time and “states of nature” [LS, ch. 8].

Then we will switch to consumption-based models of asset pricing [LS, ch. 13].